

#### Disclaimer

- This TAL Risk Academy presentation has been prepared and is intended for the education of licensed financial advisers in their professional capacity only. None of the information in this presentation is intended to supersede or replace obligations imposed on an adviser by law, the terms of the Australian Financial Services License and any authorization under which they operate their advice practice, any directions given by their licensee, or any professional body governing rules.
- Information provided in respect of any law or legal duty is given in good faith and reflects the presenter's understanding at the date of giving this presentation, however laws are subject to interpretation, their application may depend on individual circumstances, and they can change. It does not constitute legal or tax advice. No warranty is given that the information is complete, and liability for any losses that may arise from reliance on this information is excluded to the fullest extent permitted by law.
- Any financial product advice is incidental to the course content and general in nature only. TAL Life, its subsidiaries and its representatives (including the presenters of TAL Risk Academy) have not taken into consideration any person's individual circumstances, financial situation or objectives in preparing and presenting this information. If intending to act acting on any of the information in this presentation, consideration should be given to its appropriateness in light of individual circumstances, financial situation and objectives, and professional advice should be taken before making a decision. A copy of the Product Disclosure Statement should be obtained and read prior to making any decision regarding the acquisition of any financial product referred to in this presentation.



## The only constant is change

- The only constant in the provision of risk advice is change
- This change has focused primarily on regulation, remuneration, and professional standards
- Compliance is driving the risk advice process, driving the cost to serve up
- In the first half of 2023, only 6,373 financial advisers wrote a risk policy, with fewer than 500 responsible for 50% of all new business



#### Australia is underinsured

- At the same time risk new business is decreasing, the need for risk advice is accelerating
- Deliotte's 'Mind the Gap' indicated that Australians could have claimed 25 billion more in the last year if not for underinsurance (need to provide source)
- It is estimated Australians remain underinsured by up to 60-80% (need to provide source)
- Client's prefer seeking life insurance advice through a financial planner
  - advice can be all encompassing and independent of product



### And then there was light...

- And then there was light, in the form of the Quality of Advice Review (QAR)
- The purpose of the QAR is to improve the accessibility and affordability of quality financial advice
- Much of the focus has been in relation to the removal of regulatory red tape, including streamlining fee consent and the divisive Statement of Advice (SOA)
- The provision of advice should prioritise substance over form and focus on the outcome; the advice document should be fit for purpose



## Fit for process

- The need for a fit for purpose risk advice document is needed and not up for debate
- What is up for debate are the inputs required in the advice process that form the basis of the advice; with the focus being on appropriate outcomes that are in the best interests of the consumer
- This presentation will focus on these inputs, producing a streamlined, compliant, repeatable risk process that we hope will rekindle your love for risk



## Agenda

- Risk identification & quantification
- Risk tolerance & capacity to pay
- Establishing goals and objectives
- Goals prioritisation matrix
- Risk transfer/retention & repeat
- Outcome mapping



#### Risk identification

- Through an analysis of the client's unique circumstances, risk identification allows the client to understand their risk exposure
- Risk quantification takes this exposure, and expresses it in dollar terms

 There is no ASIC/Code approved approach, rather an industry driven needs calculator that varies practice to practice; common risk considered include:

| Risk                 | Life     | TPD      | CI       |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Repay debt           | <b>✓</b> | <b>✓</b> | <b>~</b> |
| Income replacement   | <b>✓</b> | <b>✓</b> | <b>~</b> |
| Provision for tax    | <b>✓</b> | <b>~</b> | ×        |
| Children's education | <b>✓</b> | <b>✓</b> | ×        |
| Childcare expenses   | <b>~</b> | <b>~</b> | ×        |
| Home modifications   | <b>✓</b> | <b>~</b> | ×        |
| Final expenses       | <b>✓</b> | X        | X        |



## Risk quantification

Each identified needs to be quantified; your quantification method should ideally demonstrate how each number has been determined including research/data points

There are some quantum's however that will guided by a best estimate e.g. home modifications, provision for tax

to be given as to how the quanta interact with each other e.g. income replacement

Once you have your risk identification and quantification philosophy settled, you can create a simple calculation tool to run each clients numbers



## Establishing goals and objectives

- Your advice needs to address the client's goals and objectives
- It is often the role of the financial adviser to uncover a goal or objective the client didn't know they had
- Where cost is an issue, these goals will need to be prioritised and/or dealt with by means other than insurance
- Risk tolerance will play an important role is addressing each risk e.g., it is more likely to claim on an IP policy than for Life, TPD and Trauma



## Insurance appetite & affordability

RISK PROFILE

# SOLUTION CONSIDERATIONS

#### Risk comfortable

Unconcerned by risk to income – not seeking risk transfer solution.

#### **Balanced**

Pragmatic view of risk and necessity of income protection – seeks value.

Product solution provides necessary coverage and minimises present and future financial impact.

#### Conservative

Limited appetite for risk to income – seeks the most comprehensive solution.

Product solution provides maximum coverage and certainty, prepared to make financial concessions in present and future state.

- Nil cost no insurance
- High risk of income loss if unable to work

- Lower initial cost
- Minimised long term cost
- Reduced risk of premium increase

- High initial cost
- Greater long term cost
- Greater risk of premium increase

INCREASED PRODUCT GENEROSITY COMES AT GREATER COST AND WITH INCREASED UNCERTAINTY OF FUTURE COST

Which risk profile suits your client?



## "Client, know thyself"

- Position the Client on the Risk Tolerance Spectrum according to the Client's perceptions, and not your perceptions
- Positioning Tool has been developed: Series of risk attitude questions with a score allocation for each answer
- We are not pigeonholing the Client. The tool is a stimulus encouraging the Client to consider their position on the Risk Tolerance Spectrum
- Ensure the Clients consider the cost of risk transfer in their positioning deliberations
- Proper positioning on the Risk Tolerance Spectrum empowers the Client to make appropriate risk transfer/retention decisions
- Each Client's perceptions are unique. Positioning and transfer retention decisions will vary from client to client



## Establishing client goals & objectives

Step 1
Articulate goals
using a blue sky
approach

Step 2
Adjust goals
by the Client's
risk attitude

**Step 3**Complete the goals matrix

Step 4
Resolve any
tension between
competing needs

Step 5
Ensure that the
Client
understands the
outcome

**Articulation** 

**Resolution process** 

**Confirmation** 

"....a process of not just identifying needs but prioritising those needs to ensure that any tension between competing needs is resolved through discussions with the client"

"The Best Interest Duty does not demand that specific recommendations are made to meet every possible client need"

\*direct quotes from AFCA determination 747581



# Goals matrix example

| Goal                            | Description   | How can I achieve my goal?   | What happens on my death or disability?  | Priority ranking | Comment  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|--|
| Debt on<br>primary<br>residence | Discharge my mortgage by age 60 or on death or disability. I cannot leave my family without a home on my death                  | Ensure that I have sufficient superannuation savings to discharge debt | Use my superannuation death benefit to discharge mortgage debt. Use insurance to supplement any shortfall. | 1                | Important for the family to have a roof over their heads |
| Child<br>maintenance<br>costs   | Provide for my children until<br>age 18, the estimated time of<br>entry into the work force or<br>entry into tertiary education | Use my after tax income to maintain our existing life style            | Use my superannuation death benefit to discharge mortgage debt. Use insurance to supplement any shortfall. | 3                |  |
| Education<br>funding            | Provide for the secondary education of my children in Catholic Schools until they reach age 18                                  | Use my after-tax income to fund my children's education                | Use insurance to fund ongoing education. Worst case scenario, the children can go to state schools.        | 2                |  |



#### Risk identification



#### **Meet David**

David is a 50 year old non-smoker and works as an accountant for a large mining house.

David is married to Irene and they have two children aged 15 and 10 respectively.

David's two children attend Catholic Schools and David and his wife want to ensure that the children complete their education in the Catholic system if David suffers premature death or disability.

#### Salary

\$180,000 plus SGC \$18,900 per annum

**Mortgage Amount** \$500,000

**Superannuation balance** \$400,000

Other insurances
Income Protection
\$4,500 per month.
Aged 65 benefit

Use the risk matrix to identify and quantify the risks confronting David



# David risk matrix(1)

| Identified Risk           | Financial<br>Exposure | Risk Transfer Strategy |             |     | Risk                                | Risk Retention Strategy |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                           |                       | Life                   | TPD         | CI  | Retention                           |                         |
| Debt on primary residence | \$500,000             | \$500,000              | \$500,000   | \$0 | \$500,000<br>for trauma             |                         |
| Child maintenance costs   | \$192,042             | \$0                    | \$192,042   | \$0 | \$192,042                           |                         |
| Education funding         | \$152,737             | \$152,737              | \$152,737   | \$0 | \$152,737<br>for trauma             |                         |
| Cash flow replacement     | \$692,272             | \$0                    | \$692,272   | \$0 | \$692,272<br>for life and<br>trauma |                         |
| Total                     | \$1,537,051           | \$652,737              | \$1,537,051 | \$0 |                                     |                         |



# David risk matrix(2)

| Identified Risk               | Financial<br>Exposure | Risk Transfer Strategy |             |           | Risk      | Diels Betontion Strategy |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|
|                               |                       | Life                   | TPD         | CI        | Retention | Risk Retention Strategy  |
| Total B/F                     | \$1,537,051           | \$652,737              | \$1,537,051 | \$0       |           |                          |
| Home modification/<br>medical | \$200,000             | \$0                    | \$200,000   | \$200,000 | \$0       |                          |
| Final expenses                | \$20,000              | \$20,000               | \$0         | \$0       | \$0       |                          |
| Total                         | \$1,757,051           | \$672,737              | \$1,737,051 | \$200,000 |           |                          |
| Superannuation                | (\$400,000)           | (\$400,000)            | \$0         | \$0       | \$0       |                          |
| Existing insurance            |                       |                        |             |           |           |                          |
| Other assets                  |                       |                        |             |           |           |                          |
| Income protection adjustment  | (\$577,043)           |                        | (\$577,043) |           |           |                          |
| Total                         | \$780,008             | \$272,737              | \$1,160,008 | \$200,000 |           |                          |



#### Risk retention discussion

- Vital to consider strategies for all retained risks. There needs to be informed consent for all risk retention
- Recording risk retention strategies on the risk matrix are essential

# Discussion of David's risk retention choices:

- Debt in the case of trauma risk
- Child maintenance for debt, TPD and trauma risk
- Cash flow replacement for life and trauma risks



## Risk adjustment discussion

Adjustment for superannuation balances:

- Life Yes
- TPD: No
- Trauma?

Adjustment for income protection

- Life No
- TPD: Yes
- Trauma?



# Mapping insurance outcomes for David











